

AT A WORK SESSION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF ROCKBRIDGE COUNTY,  
VIRGINIA HELD IN THE ROCKBRIDGE COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE BUILDING AT  
150 SOUTH MAIN STREET, LEXINGTON, VIRGINIA  
ON MONDAY, JANUARY 23, 2017 AT 4:30 P.M.

PRESENT: CHAIRMAN D.W. HINTY JR, VICE CHAIRMAN J.M. HIGGINS,  
R.S. FORD, A.W. LEWIS, JR., R.R. CAMPBELL

CLERK TO THE BOARD: S. H. SUTER  
COUNTY ATTORNEY: V.L. HUFFMAN

Chairman Hinty convened the Work Session at 4:30 p.m.

**Fire and EMS Funding Discussion**

County Administrator Spencer Suter briefly reviewed a revised Agenda Item: "First, I need to correct a little misstated history in my Board report. I had noted that the Fire and Rescue Commission was formed in 2009. Actually it was formed in 2011, as the culmination of approximately 2½ years of dedicated committee work, which Mr. Ford and Mr. Lewis participated in closely. The overall objectives were aligned with:

- Improving relations and communications between emergency services organizations and
- Improving the provision of fire and EMS services to the citizens of our region

I've handed out a copy of the Commission's mission statement. As noted, multiple Committees were established to examine such things as SOGs, Equipment, Funding, Staffing, etc.

"When the County began billing for ambulance transport services, it was determined that the Commission would make recommendations to the Board of Supervisors as to how the proceeds of the billing should be expended. It has been my understanding that the original intent for use of the funds was for staffing (be it paid career services or supplemental pay to volunteers). A couple of years ago, the Commission combined the Staffing/Finance/Recruiting and Retention Committees into one Committee. Since then a central focus has been developed and discussed regarding various options for use of the cost recovery funding; the idea has been for the committee to settle on a recommendation to provide to the full Commission for consideration. The RRFRC would then consider the recommendation and if in agreement, make that recommendation to the Board of Supervisors. Recently, the Staffing/Finance/Recruiting and Retention Committee presented the proposal that is included in your package to the full Commission. The recommendation was approved, and we are now bringing it forward to the Board for further consideration. Typically, the Board receives annual funding requests from both the Fire Association and RERG. Through work of the Commission, the Proposal/Request you see here is in lieu of those standard requests, and is supported by the Fire Association and RERG.

"Some highlights of the request/proposal include:

- The overall thrust of the proposal is intended to help ensure that current volunteers are retained and new volunteers can be recruited, with the expectation that they would have more time to train and respond to emergencies, rather than spend time raising funds.

- Overall potential increase of \$237,056 (This final number has been revised since the attached proposal was submitted).
- The proposal provides differing levels of additional funding support for agencies.
- Increased funding is linked to response performance. I.e.: proposed increases would be deducted according to the number of calls an agency fails to respond to [FTR].
- No agency would be funded at levels lower than current.

"It is also my understanding that this request is intended to be supported by general fund monies, not those restricted in the cost recovery account. Chief Ramsey, Committee Chair Jerry Wilson and Committee members Carl and Colby Irvine are in attendance, along with Robert Hickman of RERG, to further explain and answer any questions you may have. At the conclusion of your discussion, I'd ask that staff be given direction as to how to proceed. One option would be to refer this forward through the standard Board Finance Committee process."

Supervisor Higgins asked how much revenue recovery money was being generated annually.

Mr. Suter replied that revenue runs about \$200,000; however about \$113,000 is already committed.

Supervisor Ford asked for information on fire departments being paid based on how many calls they ran.

Mr. Suter replied that part of their funding is based on variable pay, which is based on the percentage of overall calls that the department runs.

Supervisor Ford asked if they will still receive variable pay if the Board approves of what is being proposed.

Mr. Suter replied that they would still receive variable pay.

Colby Irvine of the Walkers Creek Fire Department explained that three activities drive volunteer departments: answering calls; attending training; and fundraising to fill the gap between what the County gives the department and what it costs to operate. He further explained that what is being proposed will take the place of fundraising so members can concentrate more on training and answering calls.

Supervisor Lewis asked how the call numbers and figures were created.

Mr. Irvine replied that the 911 Dispatch Center keeps up with the figures of all calls they dispatch.

Supervisor Ford asked if the Commission members present were pleased with the way the call records were being kept.

Mr. Irvine replied that they are not pleased, but that is the fault of the system - not the Dispatch Center Director. He added that the numbers and figures received would have to be manually generated to be more accurate.

Supervisor Ford asked if the new CAD system at the new 911 Center would do better at recording call responses.

Fire Chief Ramsey replied that the new CAD would perform well in that regard.

Mr. Suter explained that 911 Center Director Scott Bedell is currently reengaging the consultant who helped write and assess the CAD proposals to help the County through the negotiation process.

Chairman Hinty asked if the FTR numbers include Glasgow Rescue and Fairfield Rescue, as they have paid staff.

Chief Ramsey replied that all Fairfield and Glasgow rescue responses are included; their FTR's have definitely decreased since they are now staffed 7 days a week.

Chairman Hinty asked if it was considered an FTR if they are dispatched somewhere and then receive another call while they are out.

Chief Ramsey replied that this is correct, but that the FTR is reported differently.

Mr. Irvine reiterated that such an FTR would be noted differently.

Supervisor Higgins asked for clarification on the "8 minute rule".

Mr. Irvine replied that, under current policy, a company or squad receives an FTR if no response is logged within 8 minutes of being dispatched.

Supervisor Lewis asked if this was State policy.

Mr. Irvine replied that this is the regional policy between the two cities and the County.

Fire and Rescue Commission member Jerry Wilson explained that the 8 minute response rule is the biggest issue they are facing. He stated that this is a rule that is in place in this region and nowhere else.

Supervisor Higgins asked who created this rule.

Mr. Irvine replied that it was created by the 911 Dispatch Board.

Mr. Hickman added that the goal of the rule is to ensure that someone is getting on the road.

Mr. Wilson stated that the rule was established some years ago. He further stated that he does not agree with the 8 minute rule, but that there should be a delayed response instead. He noted that he realized it was for record keeping, but until there is a system that handle records adequately, it is all manual.

Supervisor Higgins asked Mr. Wilson if he felt it is time to address this issue.

Mr. Wilson replied that it has long been time to address this issue.

Supervisor Higgins asked Mr. Hickman if he felt it was time to address this issue.

Mr. Hickman replied that it is all they have to work with right now; he added that it probably should be changed - he just didn't know how or when.

Supervisor Higgins asked Chief Ramsey to look at past Minutes of the 911 Dispatch Board to see how it was established.

Mr. Wilson stated that the 8 minute rule is unfair. He shared that there have been times when Dispatch has manually had to make changes to

the records because there were not really FTR's - but they don't like having to do that because it is just more work on someone else to make those changes. Mr. Wilson stated that he does not dispute the legitimacy of records at all; "it is what it is". He also stated that what is being proposed will not hurt any department and would only drive volunteers to be available to run a call.

Supervisor Ford noted that this proposal may affect the contract with Lexington, and so there would need to be more discussions about this before adoption of the next budget.

Supervisor Lewis shared that the beauty of this request is that it has come about over the past several years, noting that the ultimate goal is to delay the point in time when the County will be forced to pay for staff at all the departments.

Supervisor Higgins asked if Effinger and Kerrs Creek Fire Departments would get penalized in the same manner for not responding to calls. [Note: these are the only two agencies that combine fire and rescue functions in one building; as such they are funded differently.]

Carl Irvine stated that all volunteers are here to benefit the citizens of the County, and the proposal will only lead to more calls being answered.

J.D. Clemmer, President of the Effinger Fire Department, asked if responders would be penalized for not answering a call in 8 minutes, and if so then what would drive them to answer the call knowing it would be more than 8 minutes to do so.

Mr. Suter stated that the only way to address the 8 minute rule is to address the policy.

Mr. Hickman requested that the FTR policy be left alone with regard to counting FTRs by volunteer and career staff.

Mr. Suter asked for a directive from the Board.

Supervisor Lewis asked that the proposal is worthy enough that it should go to the Finance Committee as part of the budget process.

Supervisor Higgins thanked the Fire and Rescue agencies for allowing usage of their space for special events such as cakewalks, fundraisers, etc.

#### **911 Center Update**

Mr. Suter briefly reviewed the following information: "As you know, we are in the midst of a project to upgrade the Lomax Funeral home in Buena Vista for use as a regional 911 Center. In December, then-Chair Higgins asked for an update at an upcoming meeting. As you know, the County owns the building; however the three localities have joined in a regional effort to upgrade the western portion of the building for the 911 center. Our agreement also references potential collaboration on upgrades of the eastern side of the building for a regional EOC. For the sake of simplicity, I am dividing this report into three areas:

- 1) Overall building upgrade progress
- 2) 911 side progress
- 3) EOC side progress

1) Overall

- a. All asbestos has been removed and abated
- b. The interior walls have been stripped to studs
- c. Old AC units have been removed from the rooftop
- d. Required wall reinforcement and grouting has been completed.
- e. Plumbing and interior electrical work are more than 50% complete

2) 911 Side

- a. Equipment room is structurally complete, with raised floor and drywall
- b. Drywall is up and still needs to be taped/finished
- c. Bathrooms have been framed up and plumbed.
- d. With agreement from all, we went ahead and added back in an option to provide additional insulation and sealing under the roof.

3) EOC Side

- a. Determined to leave walls stripped as we develop a plan to renovate that side. As I have discussed with you individually, we would like to use part of that side of the building for future housing of Fire/EMS administration. In order to help save design costs, we have worked directly with Lionberger Construction on a conceptual plan, which is nearly complete. I've asked them for a quote for the work, so that I may return

to the Board to see if you would like to use part of our project savings to finish out that side of the building.

b. We have also included design for an EOC on that side of the building. There is plenty of room.

As with any project, we included contingency funds for the unknown/unexpected. Here are a few issues we have run into:

- Sewer line under the building was examined by mini-camera and determined to be in marginal shape. Rather than rely on hope, we went ahead and fixed it now.
- Moseley had not factored in replacement of the two AC units serving the EOC side of the building. It was clear that they needed to be replaced, so we moved ahead with that, as well as an overall electrical service upgrade for the entire building. Please note that any change orders impacting our funding partners are being reviewed by the cities.
- The electrical upgrade will be getting underway this week. Our goal is to get the radio equipment room powered up as soon as possible for Harris's equipment installation.
- Harris has installed its monopole on site and trenched in the conduit. They are working with Lionberger on building penetration and conduit to get into the equipment room.
- When trenching, we had Harris add 3 more conduits to save time and money.

- o Century Link

- o RANA

- o Comcast

- Also, a potential addition is a warrant pass-through window.

We are also finding additional savings in other areas:

- Dispatch furniture - Budgeted \$80,000 - likely reduce that by 2/3

- We are working directly with Lionberger on security cameras and a door access control system

- We are utilizing the existing well, saving \$30,000 in the overall budget

Overall, even with some change orders, we are still under budget and are seeking to spend every dime wisely. I'd like to return to the Board when I have a more firm number from Lionberger to complete the EOC side of the building."

Supervisor Lewis asked for confirmation of the project budget.

Mr. Suter replied that the project is under budget even with the addition of change orders.

Chairman Hinty announced a short break before beginning the regular Board meeting at 5:30 PM.

AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF ROCKBRIDGE COUNTY,  
VIRGINIA HELD IN THE ROCKBRIDGE COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE BUILDING AT  
150 SOUTH MAIN STREET, LEXINGTON, VIRGINIA  
ON MONDAY, JANUARY 23, 2017 AT 5:30 P.M.

PRESENT: CHAIRMAN D.W. HINTY JR, VICE CHAIRMAN J.M. HIGGINS,  
R.S. FORD, A.W. LEWIS, JR., R.R. CAMPBELL

CLERK TO THE BOARD: S. H. SUTER  
COUNTY ATTORNEY: V.L. HUFFMAN

**Call to Order**

Chairman Hinty called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m. Supervisor Campbell delivered the invocation and led in the Pledge of Allegiance.

**Recognitions/Presentations**

Supervisor of Fleet Services Steve Kingery introduced Bernard Newman, our newest Fleet Technician. Mr. Kingery advised that Mr. Newman was a Rockbridge County native with a wife and two children. He also shared that Mr. Newman was a graduate of the University of Northwestern Ohio.

The Board of Supervisors welcomed Mr. Newman.

Chairman Hinty recognized Sutton Travis, a Washington and Lee University Journalism student, who was present as a reporter for "Rockbridge Report".

**Citizens Comments**

Steve Neas of the Buffalo Magisterial District spoke in regard to the Rocky Forge Wind Project. He advised that he had received an email the

night prior from Senator Deeds, who had submitted some changes to the Permit by Rule [PBR] bill. The two changes he was recommending to the Permit by Rule were: to define a project that comes under the Permit by Rule (PBR) to be less than 100 acres of affected land; and, a project could not be within 5 miles of another jurisdiction and still be under PBR. Mr. Neas stated that there was going to be a renewable energy committee hearing on Thursday, January 26<sup>th</sup> in Richmond, and wished that someone from the County would participate with them in support of the two changes. He asked that the Board write a letter of support if a County representative could not attend the meeting.

Chairman Hinty thanked Mr. Neas for his comment.

Jeff Scott of the Walkers Creek Magisterial District thanked the Board of Supervisors for their support they have given in the past related to problems with the Rocky Forge Wind Project. He advised that APEX Energy had submitted final application to DEQ on December 2<sup>nd</sup>; DEQ will have 90 days to decide if the application is complete or incomplete. He added that he is aware that the proposed changes to PBR will have no effect on the Rocky Forge Wind Project; however, the changes could avoid the same problems from occurring in the future anywhere in the State of Virginia. Mr. Scott also asked that the County send a representative attend the meeting on Thursday - and if not then send a letter of support for the proposed PBR changes. He then advised that another bill was introduced regarding the Utility Facilities Act that, should it pass, would take away the Board's authority to decide or help decide where a substation could be placed in the County.

John Wood, a student at Liberty University, introduced himself as a staffer for the Glenn Davis for Lieutenant Governor campaign. He stated that he was present to show his support and to ask for signatures on a petition.

Lee Merrill of the Kerrs Creek Magisterial District, and member of the Rockbridge Area Conservation Council, also asked the Board for a letter of support for the previously discussed PBR bill.

Before Board discussion on this matter, Chairman Hinty abstained from any voting or discussion related to the Rocky Forge Wind Project. He left the dais at 5:43 p.m.

Vice Chairman Higgins because acting Chairman.

Supervisor Campbell asked to see the House Bill, as this had just brought to the Board's attention. Mr. Neas provided a copy for review and to share with the other Board members. Mr. Campbell shared his support for the request.

Mr. Merrill then commented on the Arcadia Wildlife Corridor designation project. He stated that, following a meeting with the Botetourt County Board of Supervisors, he believes they are in support of the project.

Supervisor Ford agreed with Supervisor Campbell that this was all short notice. He suggested the Board communicate over the next couple of days to discuss a course of action.

Supervisor Campbell stated that he would not be able to attend the meeting in Richmond; however, he agreed with a letter of support from the Board.

Supervisor Lewis agreed with Supervisor Campbell. He suggested that a citizen who was already going to the meeting hand deliver the letter.

County Attorney Vickie Huffman reminded the Board that they should not take action during the Citizen Comment period but rather add this item to the Agenda so that they could take formal action.

Supervisor Hinty returned to the dais at 5:48 p.m.

Tom Bundy of the South River Magisterial District shared his concerns over EMS coverage in the County. He had attended the 4:30 Work Session for Fire and EMS matters. Mr. Bundy stated that the volunteer system does not fit today's society - as volunteers find it hard to make the time to attend the required training, much less running calls when they work full time. He pointed out that he greatly appreciates the dedication and time that the volunteers give; however some - like his wife and himself - could, in the event of an emergency, drive to the nearest hospital quicker than the EMS volunteers could get to their house.

#### **Items to be added to the Agenda**

Chairman Hinty added an item to discuss and consider a letter of approval supporting Permit by Rule changes, a recommendation by citizens of the County as well as by the Rockbridge Area Conservation Council.

Supervisor Campbell added an item about RANA.

**Approval of the January 9, 2017 Minutes**

Supervisor Ford moved to approve the January 9, 2017 Minutes. Supervisor Higgins provided the second, and the motion carried by unanimous roll call vote by the Board.

AYES: Ford, Higgins, Campbell, Lewis, Higgins  
NAYES: None  
ABSTAIN: None  
ABSENT: None

**Approval of School Appropriation Resolution**

Finance Director Steven Bolster presented the School Appropriation Resolution, shown below, and recommended approval.

Supervisor Lewis moved to approve the School Appropriation Resolution. Supervisor Higgins provided the second, and the motion carried by unanimous roll call vote by the Board:

AYES: Lewis, Higgins, Ford, Campbell, Hinty  
NAYES: None  
ABSTAIN: None  
ABSENT: None

AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF ROCKBRIDGE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, HELD AT THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING, 150 SOUTH MAIN STREET, LEXINGTON, VIRGINIA, ON MONDAY, JANUARY 23, 2017 AT 5:30 P.M.

On motion by Supervisor \_\_\_\_\_, seconded by Supervisor \_\_\_\_\_, the Board, by record vote adopted the following appropriation resolutions:

**APPROPRIATION RESOLUTION**

**BE IT RESOLVED:** By the Board of Supervisors of Rockbridge County, Virginia, that the following appropriations are, and the same hereby are made, for the period ending **June 30, 2017** in **FUND 50, SCHOOL FUND** and expended as follows:

**RCPS CIP/PPP**

4-50-68200-8110-390-001 RCHS Audit/Gym Sound Sys..... \$11,000.00  
**Subtotal** **\$11,000.00**

**Additional Revenue Source**

4-50-63200-1582-915-603 Salaries..... \$763.00  
4-50-63200-6008-915-603 Fuel..... \$2,513.00  
**Subtotal** **\$3,276.00**

**New Grants**

**National Board Certification**

4-50-61100-1624-390-500 Supplemental Wages..... \$2,500.00  
**Subtotal** **\$2,500.00**

**TOTAL FUND 50 APPROPRIATIONS** **\$16,776.00**

**Natural Bridge Dragstrip Reports**

Assistant Director of Community Development Chris Slaydon presented the Dragstrip reports. He noted that he had not received the dirt track reports but was hopeful they would be added to the February Agenda.

Supervisor Higgins noted that the dragstrip and speedway were not allowed to run on the weekend of the Rockbridge Regional Fair in the past. He asked Mr. Slaydon to let the owners know that the Regional Fair had moved its dates this year, so they could adjust their schedules accordingly.

Mr. Slaydon confirmed.

Chairman Hinty asked if the complaints about dust had been taken care of.

Mr. Slaydon responded that the dust complaints had been addressed. He added that some complaints about trash blowing around were also taken care of.

Mr. Suter noted that the Board may have to take official action to change the schedules to accommodate the weekend of the Fair.

**Consideration of Road Additions- Plateau Lane and Vallance Way**

County Attorney Vickie Huffman briefly reviewed the Agenda Item: "You have in your package two road addition resolutions which are required for the owners to turn over these subdivision roads to the State. The road in The Plateau Subdivision located off of Forge Road, Plateau Lane, was constructed in 2003 to State standards but only recently hard surfaced, as the housing build out has been slow. There are now three occupied homes and the road meets all requirements for inclusion into the State system. Vallance Way, which is the road at the end of Greenhouse Village, was completed this past year, and four homes that are served by this road are now occupied. It meets all requirements for inclusion into the State system."

Supervisor Higgins advised that he had visited Plateau Lane and that there had been new houses built there.

Supervisor Higgins moved to accept the resolution approving Plateau Lane. Supervisor Ford provided the second, and the motion carried by unanimous roll call vote by the Board.

AYES: Higgins, Ford, Campbell, Lewis, Hinty  
NAYES: None  
ABSTAIN: None  
ABSENT: None

The Board of Supervisors of Rockbridge County, in a regular meeting on the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of January, 2017, adopted the following:

#### RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the street described on the attached Additions Form AM-4.3, fully incorporated herein by reference, are shown on a plat entitled 'Plat Showing THE PLATEAU', recorded in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Rockbridge County in Plat Cabinet 3, Slide 470; and,

WHEREAS, the Resident Engineer for the Virginia Department of Transportation has advised this Board the street meets the requirements established by the Subdivision Street Requirements of the Virginia Department of Transportation.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, this Board requests the Virginia Department of Transportation to add the street described on the attached Additions Form AM-4.3 to the secondary system of state highways, pursuant to §33.1-229, Code of Virginia, and the Department's Subdivision Street Requirements, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, this Board guarantees a clear and unrestricted right-of-way, as described, and any necessary easements for cuts, fills and drainage, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a certified copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Resident Engineer for the Virginia Department of Transportation.

Adopted this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of January, 2017.

Supervisor Ford moved to accept the resolution approving Vallance Way. Supervisor Lewis provided the second, and the motion carried by unanimous roll call vote by the Board.

AYES: Ford, Lewis, Campbell, Higgins, Hinty

NAYES: None  
ABSTAIN: None  
ABSENT: None

The Board of Supervisors of Rockbridge County, in a regular meeting on the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of January, 2017, adopted the following:

## RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the streets described on the attached Additions Form AM-4.3, fully incorporated herein by reference, are shown and dedicated on a plat entitled 'Plat Showing Right-of-Way Dedication & Division of the Property of William E. Valentine, et al', recorded in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Rockbridge County in Plat Cabinet 4, Slide 79 & 79A, and on a 'Plat Showing Greenhouse Village – Parcel 6 – Phase 1 – Lots 1 Thru 6, recorded in the aforesaid Clerk's Office in Plat Cabinet 4, Slide 327, with the former portion of Village Way having been vacated pursuant to Ordinance adopted by the Board of Supervisors of Rockbridge County on April 25, 2011, recorded in the aforesaid Clerk's Office as Instrument #120000492; and,

WHEREAS, the Resident Engineer for the Virginia Department of Transportation has advised this Board that the streets meet the requirements established by the Subdivision Street Requirements of the Virginia Department of Transportation.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, this Board requests the Virginia Department of Transportation to add the streets described on the attached Additions Form AM-4.3 to the secondary system of state highways, pursuant to §33.1-229, Code of Virginia, and the Department's Subdivision Street Requirements, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, this Board guarantees a clear and unrestricted right-of-way, as described, and any necessary easements for cuts, fills and drainage, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a certified copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Resident Engineer for the Virginia Department of Transportation.

Adopted this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of January, 2017.

### **Added Items:**

#### **RANA Discussion**

Supervisor Campbell advised that for a couple months now, internet providers in the State have working to get legislation introduced in

Richmond that would control programs like RANA, and that this was as a result of an embezzlement incident in Bristol. HE stated that, if this bill were to pass, it would basically shut RANA down. He also shared that this would prohibit any company from providing fiber in an area that already has internet services equivalent to dial-up. Supervisor Campbell then advised that another part of the proposed bill, which may or may not be included, is that private carriers could run their fiber in RANA's conduit without recourse. He suggested that a letter be written to Ben Cline opposing this bill and a copy be sent to Creigh Deeds.

Supervisor Higgins supported a letter opposing this bill.

Supervisor Lewis also supported a letter opposing this bill.

Mr. Suter agreed to prepare a letter to Ben Cline and added that a resolution may come before the Board as well.

Chairman Hinty left the dais at 6:07 p.m., abstaining from all discussion and voting related to the next added item.

#### **Permit by Rule House Bill discussion related to wind farms**

Vice Chairman Higgins followed up with the discussion on the letter to support the PBR changes. He asked the Board members if one would like to attend the meeting on Thursday or if they would like to send a letter of support.

Supervisor Campbell moved acceptance of a letter of support for either a Board member to take to Richmond to the meeting or for a citizen to hand deliver at the renewable energy committee hearing. Supervisor

Lewis provided the second, and the motion carried by the following roll call vote:

AYES: Campbell, Lewis, Ford, Higgins

NAYES: None

ABSTAIN: Hinty

ABSENT: None

Chairman Hinty returned to the dais at 6:10 p.m

### **Adjourn**

Supervisor Lewis moved to adjourn at 6:10 p.m. Supervisor Higgins provided the second, and the motion carried by unanimous roll call vote by the Board.

AYES: Lewis, Higgins, Ford, Campbell, Hinty

NAYES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: None