

AT A WORK SESSION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF ROCKBRIDGE COUNTY VIRGINIA, HELD IN THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, 150 SOUTH MAIN STREET LEXINGTON, VIRGINIA, ON MONDAY, JANUARY 27, 2014, AT 4:30 P.M.

PRESENT: CHAIRMAN J.M.HIGGINS
MEMBERS: R.R.CAMPBELL, R.S.FORD, D.W.HINTY, JR., A.W.LEWIS, JR
CLERK TO BOARD: SPENCER H. SUTER
COUNTY ATTORNEY: VICKIE L. HUFFMAN
STAFF: JULIE WHITESELL, BRANDY WHITTEN

Call to Order

Chairman Higgins called the Work Session to order at 4:30 p.m.

RANA Request for Line of Credit Funding

Chairman Higgins called the Work Session to order at 4:30 p.m.

The first agenda item was a RANA request for line of credit funding. County Administrator Spencer Suter explained that RANA has asked for two (2) line-of-credit loans: the first for operational costs in the amount of \$100,000, with the County's share of \$55,000; the second for connection costs in the amount of \$150,000, with the County's share at \$82,500. He advised that he had worked with the County Attorney to draft an agreement on these two (2) loan requests.

Supervisor Campbell stated that during a recent meeting with RANA Board members, they advised that they had projected they would need \$38,000 for operational costs before reaching a positive cash flow; rather than having to come back to the supervisors at a later date, however, they were asking for \$100,000 in credit. He requested that section 2(a) of the RANA loan agreement be amended to include language that the County's share would not exceed \$38,000 and in the event additional funds are needed, RANA should present a written request to the Board of Supervisors, who

will then make a decision on whether or not a loan for additional funds will be granted. Mr. Suter recommended leaving the language as it reads in section 2(a), with the added condition that the County will initially loan up to \$38,000, and should RANA reach that threshold, they come before the Board to request additional funding. Supervisor Hinty supported this amendment, noting that the Cities' share would provide for additional cushion, above and beyond the projected need. The Board unanimously agreed to add this language to the agreement. In response to a question by Supervisor Lewis, Mr. Suter confirmed that RANA will present documentation as each draw occurs to include a fund balance table.

The second loan requested by RANA was a "connection" line of credit. Chairman Higgins asked the Board if they had questions regarding this loan. Supervisor Campbell indicated that Section (b) reviews connections and how they are recorded. He requested language to be added, suggesting that RANA present the Board with monthly reports on each drop and cost in the county and to include the address of the property. Supervisor Lewis asked whether this specific language is suitable to the other two (2) localities. Mr. Suter explained that he had provided the draft agreement to the Lexington and Buena Vista City Managers, and that the Cities could decide if they wanted to approve the agreement as written, or to amend to meet their own requirements.

In response to a Board question regarding approval of connection line of credit fund use, Mr. Suter stated that he has a concern that drop-specific approval by the Board of Supervisors would present a barrier to the process and facilitation of economic development. He asked if the

Board would like to add language under section 3 (b) to read, "RANA must provide a monthly report listing drops approved under this process including addresses." Supervisor Hinty asked how the connection line of credit loan of \$150,000 would be distributed among the three (3) localities. Mr. Suter noted that the County's share would be 55% . Chairman Higgins noted that the two (2) cities have already approved this agreement with their shares that are presented and therefore he did not think the total figure of the three (3) localities could be amended. Mr. Suter confirmed that the other two (2) localities approved the funds not by contract or agreement but by word-of-mouth. Supervisor Hinty recommended not allocating the full amount that is being requested all at once. He shared his concern of loaning more money for the RANA project when there are already two (2) outstanding loans on which no payments have been made to the County. Supervisor Campbell advised that the other two (2) localities would have the option to sign off on this agreement, or a form thereof, with or without amendments to the County's share of the two (2) loans. County Attorney Vickie Huffman confirmed that the Board of Supervisors has control over this agreement, and should the other two (2) localities not support the county's version, then they could produce their own agreements. Chairman Higgins asked if there were additional comments, and there were none.

Hybrid Employee Leave Options

The second agenda item concerned employee leave options under the new hybrid plan. Mr. Suter introduced Julie Whitesell, Supervisor of Accounts, who was present to answer questions. Mr. Suter explained that anyone who

is hired after January 1, 2014 is considered a hybrid employee under the Commonwealth's new plan, except for some specific public safety employees. He further explained that the retirement plan for hybrid employees is calculated differently than retirement for employees hired before January 1, 2014. He briefly reviewed the following information which was handed out to the Board: "As per the Board's request during the January 2nd personnel policy manual work session, personnel attorney Elizabeth Dillon submitted a memorandum to the County on January 22nd, outlining the issue and offering options that the County could consider. Options to consider for new Hybrid plan employees include 1) Paid Time Off (PTO) and 2) Placing Limits on Sick and Annual Leave.

In considering a recommendation to the Board, staff discussed the information provided by Ms. Dillon and reached the following conclusions:

- 1) A central difference between the current Plans 1 & 2 and the new Hybrid plan is that the Hybrid plan offers a short term disability benefit whereas Plans 1 & 2 do not.
- 2) We should strive to find equity between leave benefits offered to Plan 1 & 2 and Hybrid plan employees.
- 3) Any decisions made should be conservative in nature, allowing for future adjustments, following more intense scrutiny.
- 4) Existing Plan 1 & 2 employees have the option of transferring to the Hybrid plan. Given that the window for transfer ends on April 30, it is important to adopt a policy in the near term, so that employees can have adequate time to consider the options and make a decision.

Given these conclusions, staff determined that the option 2 in Ms. Dillon's memorandum represents the best course of action at this time. The intent would be to balance the plan benefits so that Plans 1&2 and the Hybrid plan are as equitable as possible. Then in the future, as staff has more time and flexibility to review leave benefits, additional adjustments could be made at the Board's discretion. If, after discussion in the 1/27/14 work session, the Board is in agreement, appropriate adjustments could be made to policy and prepared for consideration at the Board's next regular meeting on February 10th. Staff should consult with the Board's personnel policy committee (Chairman Higgins and Supervisor Campbell) regarding appropriate accrual rates and leave caps.

Ms. Whitesell advised that anyone from another VRS jurisdiction who had the Hybrid Plan and began working for Rockbridge County would have to restart the Hybrid Plan and therefore could not carry their old plan over.

Contracted Ambulance Transport Services

The next item discussed during the Work Session was contracted ambulance transport services. Mr. Suter briefly reviewed:

Overview/Review - In last year's budget request, two agencies - Fairfield VRS and Glasgow First Aid and Lifesaving, requested that the County consider contracting for 3rd party, contracted ambulance service in each of their agencies.

Actions Since -

- 1) I researched the options and found several localities which have followed this path.
- 2) I adapted an RFP from another locality which has had success in a similar endeavor.
- 3) I received a generalized cost estimate for the service from Carilion, a potential provider.
- 4) I reported the progress to the Fire and Rescue Commission on 1/24/14.

Cost - Estimated annual cost is \$450,000 - \$500,000. Parameters would include:

- 12x5 (Weekday) coverage in two stations
- Coverage would include 2 EMT's, at least one of which would be an ALS provider
- Use of volunteer station, apparatus and equipment (to include fuel)

Schedule - The schedule is included in the attached, draft RFP. It is illustrative only. All dates listed would be pushed out accordingly, when and if the Board determines to move forward with this project.

Additional Actions - Should the Board wish to move forward with further consideration, the next step would be to discuss in more detail with the RERG, the Fire and Rescue Commission, and the individual host agencies. The Board will need to consider the overall impact on budget in conjunction with this decision.

Update on Radio System

The last item discussed during the Work Session was an update on the Radio System. Due to time restraints, Mr. Suter quickly reviewed the Agenda Item:

"Overview/Review -

- Based on recommendation from the Regional Communications Board, all three localities approved Harris Communications as the top ranked respondent to the Request for Proposals.

- Since then, negotiations have taken place with Harris. Harris originally proposed two options:
 - o 7 site system - Lower cost, but reduced coverage in some areas
 - o 10 site system - Higher cost, with more attractive coverage

- Negotiations resulted in adding 1 site to the 7 site system. Essentially, we would be getting an 8 site system for the cost of a 7 site system.

- Other negotiations included clarifications on long term maintenance.

- During discussions with Volunteer Fire and EMS, it became apparent that some units would require dual-head radios and additional mobile vehicle repeaters, which were added to the draft contract.

Current Action Items (3 parallel courses of action)

- 1) *Contract* - The Regional Comm. Board has opted for 3rd party legal review of the contract documents. Review is underway.

- 2) *Financing* - The Harris Proposal included financing through PNC Bank. The Regional Comm. Board cannot assume debt. Thus, one of the three localities must serve as the lead on securing financing. In that Rockbridge has the majority share, the Regional Board recommended that Rockbridge assume this role.
 - The County is currently working with PNC bank to structure a line of credit, wherein we would request draws as needed through the process. There a certain points in the project where payments are required. We would only pay when necessary, so that we are not paying interest on money that we are not using. This will also allow us flexibility in offsetting costs via grants.

- 3) *Interjurisdictional Agreement* - An agreement is necessary to ensure clear understanding among the project participants. This is particularly important in that the County is providing the financing for the project and needs guarantees of cost share. A draft has been completed and is under legal review.

Cost

	Cost	5% Contingency	Total
Radios	\$3,220,467	\$161,023	\$3,381,490
Infrastructure	\$5,231,914	\$261,596	\$5,493,510
	\$8,452,381	\$422,619	\$8,875,000

Schedule - All three action items listed above need to be completed as soon as possible. Harris originally set their pricing to be good through the end of 2013, and has extended until the end of February."

The Supervisors unanimously agreed to close this Work Session.

AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF ROCKBRIDGE COUNTY
VIRGINIA, HELD IN THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING,
150 SOUTH MAIN STREET LEXINGTON, VIRGINIA,
ON MONDAY, JANUARY 27, 2014, AT 5:30 P.M.

PRESENT: CHAIRMAN J.M.HIGGINS
MEMBERS: R.R.CAMPBELL, R.S.FORD, D.W.HINTY, JR., A.W.LEWIS, JR
ABSENT: NONE
CLERK TO BOARD: SPENCER H. SUTER
COUNTY ATTORNEY: VICKIE L. HUFFMAN
FISCAL DIRECTOR: STEVEN BOLSTER
STAFF: FRED DUDLEY, JEREMIAH CAMDEN, BRANDY WHITTEN

Call to Order

Chairman Higgins called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m.

Supervisor Lewis delivered the invocation. He then led in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Recognition/Presentations

Chairman Higgins called for recognitions and presentations.

a) Recognition of Jeremiah Camden and Derek Moore for obtaining Class II Solid Waste Licensing

Site Supervisor Of the Rockbridge County Landfill, Fred Dudley, introduced Jeremiah Camden. Mr. Dudley advised that Mr. Camden and Derek Moore have successfully obtained Class II Solid Waste Operators License by passing a difficult exam. In response to a question by a Supervisor, Mr. Dudley confirmed that Mr. Camden and Mr. Moore can now manage the Landfill during his absence. Mr. Suter commended Mr. Camden and Mr. Moore for their efforts.

b) Amended Resolution Supporting the Memory of State Trooper Jerry Hines

County Administrator Spencer Suter clarified that this resolution was nearly identical to the resolution adopted during the previous meeting but with one (1) amendment, which changed the I-81 bridges to be named in memory of Master Trooper Hines from Buffalo Creek to the Maury River.

Supervisor Campbell moved to adopt the amended resolution. Supervisor Lewis provided the second, and the motion carried by unanimous vote by the Board.

AYES: Campbell, Lewis, Ford, Hinty, Higgins
NAYES: None
ABSENT: None

RESOLUTION COMMEMORATING AND ACKNOWLEDGING THE DEDICATION AND SACRIFICE MADE BY VIRGINIA STATE TROOPER JERRY L. HINES.

WHEREAS, Trooper Jerry L. Hines was a 22 year veteran of the Virginia Department of State Police; and,

WHEREAS, Trooper Hines received a Bachelor of Arts Degree from East Tennessee State University in 1966; and,

WHEREAS, Trooper Hines was a 1977 graduate of Dabney S. Lancaster Community College's Police Science program; and,

WHEREAS, Trooper Hines was a charter member of the Virginia State Police Association and served as President from 1978 until 1980, and served as Editor-in-Chief of the Virginia State Trooper Magazine; and,

WHEREAS, Trooper Hines was a member of the Virginia National Guard, the Effinger Ruritan Club, and the Rockbridge Regional Jail Commission; and,

WHEREAS, Trooper Hines stood in harm's way on Interstate 81 on February 20, 1989; and,

WHEREAS, Trooper Hines gave his life protecting the residents of Rockbridge County and the Commonwealth; and,

WHEREAS, in memory and honor of Trooper Hines, Dabney S. Lancaster's Educational Foundation offers "The Master Trooper Jerry L. Hines Scholarships" for graduating Seniors who are enrolled in Administration of Justice or Forensic Science programs; and,

WHEREAS, the County of Rockbridge is indebted to Trooper Hines and wishes that the memory of his sacrifice be preserved for future generations; and,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED: That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Rockbridge, Virginia, does hereby acknowledge and honor Trooper Jerry L. Hines for his sacrifice, and encourages the Commonwealth of Virginia to take steps to preserve the memory of Trooper Hines by designating the Interstate 81 bridges over the Maury River in Rockbridge County the "Master Trooper Jerry L. Hines Memorial Bridge," and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED: That a certified copy of this resolution be forwarded to the The Honorable R. Creigh Deeds, Senator of the Virginia State Senate, and to The Honorable Benjamin L. Cline, Delegate of the Virginia House of Representatives.

Adopted this 27th day of January, 2014.

Recorded Vote:

AYES:

NAYES:

ABSENT:

ROCKBRIDGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

By: _____
Chairman

Attest: _____
Spencer H. Suter, Clerk

Citizens Comments

Chairman Higgins called for citizen comments. There were none.

Items to be added to the Agenda

Chairman Higgins called for items to be added to the agenda. There were none.

Approval of January 13, 2014 Minutes

Supervisor Ford moved to approve the January 13, 2014 minutes, seconded by Supervisor Campbell; the minutes were approved by the following roll call vote:

AYES: Ford, Campbell, Lewis, Hinty, Higgins
NAYES: None
ABSENT: None

FY14-15 Budget Schedule

Finance Director Steve Bolster presented the proposed 2014-2015 Budget Schedule.

On a motion by Supervisor Hinty, seconded by Supervisor Campbell, the schedule was adopted as presented by unanimous roll call vote by the Board.

AYES: Hinty, Campbell, Ford, Lewis, Higgins
NAYES: None
ABSENT: None

Date:	Description:	Location:	Time:
February 6, 2014 (Thursday)	Joint Meeting between the Rockbridge County Board of Supervisors and the Rockbridge County School Board	Rockbridge County School Board Office 1972 Big Spring Drive, Lexington, Virginia	5:30 p.m.
March 5, 2014 (Wednesday)	Budget Work Session #1 Any organization requesting funds excluding Dept/Div Heads and COs	Board Meeting Room, 1 st floor, County Administrative Office 150 South Main Street	5:30 p.m.
March 12, 2014 (Wednesday)	Budget Work Session #2 Fiscal Services presents FY14-15 draft Budget	Extension Office Meeting Room, 2 nd floor, County Administrative Office 150 South Main Street	5:30 p.m.
March 24, 2014 (Monday)	Budget Work Session #3 approval to advertise FY14-15 Budget and CY2014 tax rates	Board Meeting Room, 1 st floor, County Administrative Office 150 South Main Street	After Board of Supervisors Meeting
April 16, 2014 (Wednesday)	Public Hearing	Board Meeting Room, 1 st floor, County Administrative Office 150 South Main Street	6:00 p.m.
April 23, 2014 (Wednesday)	Budget Adoption	Board Meeting Room, 1 st floor, County Administrative Office 150 South Main Street	6:00 p.m.

Convene Solid Waste Authority (If Needed)

The Solid Waste Authority did not convene.

Consideration of Financial Advisory Services Agreement

Mr. Bolster presented the Financial Advisory Agreement. As noted in the Agenda Item: "The subject of securing financial advisor services through cooperative procurement was initially discussed at a July 19, 2013 finance committee meeting. An informational briefing was provided to the Board on August 26, 2013, with a goal to bring further information back by

September 9, 2013, on whether there was consensus to move ahead with securing services with Davenport and Company, LLC via cooperative procurement, or to proceed with a separate Request for Proposal (RFP) by the County. Prior to the September 9, 2013 Board meeting, it was determined the will of the Board was to move forward with a financial advisor services RFP. Beginning October 9, 2013 County RFP# 2013-10-001 was advertised over a 2-week period requesting sealed proposals from financial advisory firms. In addition to local advertisement efforts, the RFP was published through eVA, Virginia's Total e-Procurement Solution website. The eVA website generated a solicitation notification summary indicating 4,171 individual vendors were notified to include: 2,904 non-Small, Women-owned, and Minority-owned *(SWaM) Businesses and 1,267 SWaM Businesses (1,242 Small Businesses, 18 Minority-owned Businesses, 7 Women-owned Businesses). Three financial advisory firms submitted proposals in accordance with RFP# 2013-10-001, no later than 2:00 PM EST on Wednesday, October 31, 2013. Contact was made with the references provided by the three financial advisor firms and phone interviews were completed. The evaluation committee members then independently ranked each proposal. The chart below reflects the average score each proposal received and the final ranking in the evaluation process:

Firm	Average Score	Final Ranking
Davenport & Company, LLC	94.03	1
Edge Municipal Advisors	89.13	2

Claret Consulting, LLC	88.13	3
------------------------	-------	---

On December 4, 2013, members of the finance committee and our County Attorney conducted a telephone interview with Davenport and Company Senior Vice President Joe Mason. As a result of our procurement process, the finance committee's decision was to move forward with developing the final contract documents and recommending Board approval to secure financial advisory services with Davenport and Company."

Supervisor Ford moved to adopt the resolution declaring the County Administrator is hereby authorized to execute the respective contract documents on behalf of the Board of Supervisors of Rockbridge County, and to take such other and further actions as may be necessary and appropriate to accomplish this transaction, which shall be approved as to form by the County Attorney. A second was provided by Supervisor Lewis, and the resolution was adopted by unanimous roll call vote by the Board.

AYES: Ford, Lewis, Campbell, Hinty, Higgins
 NAYES: None
 ABSENT: None

AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF ROCKBRIDGE COUNTY,
 VIRGINIA, HELD AT THE ROCKBRIDGE COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES ON MONDAY,
 JANUARY 27, 2014

**RESOLUTION TO APPROVE CONTRACT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF
 ROCKBRIDGE AND DAVENPORT & COMPANY, LLC, FOR FINANCIAL ADVISOR
 SERVICES**

WHEREAS, on October 9, 2013, the County of Rockbridge issued a Request for Proposals from qualified firms to provide general, transaction-related, and other project-specific financial advisory services for the County; and,

WHEREAS, three firms submitted proposals in accordance with RFP#2013-10-001 by the October 30th response date and time; and,

WHEREAS, the members of an evaluation committee independently ranked each proposal and conducted interviews; and,

WHEREAS, the evaluation committee has determined that the highest ranking proposer is Davenport & Company, LLC, and has recommended negotiation of a professional services contract; and,

WHEREAS, staff has negotiated an agreement dated as of January 27, 2013, presented herewith to the Board for approval.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED as follows:

1. That the Financial Advisory Services Agreement, dated as of February 1, 2014, with Davenport & Company, LLC, to provide general, transaction-related, and other project-specific financial advisory services for the County, at the rates shown on Attachment A to the Agreement, for an initial period of three (3) years, with the right to renew for up to two (2) one-year periods, is hereby authorized and approved.

2. That the County Administrator is hereby authorized to execute the Agreement and such other documents and take such further actions, on behalf of the Board of Supervisors, as are necessary to accomplish this transaction, all of which shall be approved as to form by the County Attorney.

3. That this Resolution shall be effective upon the date of its adoption.

Adopted this 27th day of January, 2014.

Recorded Vote:

AYES: Ford, Lewis, Campbell, Hinty, Higgins

NAYES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: None

ROCKBRIDGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

By: _____

Chairman

Attest: _____

Spencer H. Suter, Clerk

Consideration of Sick Leave policy for Hybrid employees

Mr. Suter advised that this item was discussed during the Board of Supervisors Work Session held at 4:30 p.m. He briefly reviewed the Agenda Item, as noted in the work session minutes above.

After brief discussion, the Board agreed to move ahead with a discussion with the Personnel Policy Committee to fine tune option 2, and directed Mr. Suter to return with a final recommendation on February 10th.

Continued Discussion- Consideration of RANA Request

Mr. Suter briefly reviewed the Agenda Item: "At the January 13, 2014 regular Board meeting, RANA business committee Chairman Gregg Amonette presented a request from RANA for additional startup funding. As you will recall, as part of the original design of the RANA project, \$52,000 of local funding was set aside as seed money to get RANA off the ground during the transition between construction and operations. In addition, last summer the Board of Supervisors and the Lexington and Buena Vista City Councils previously agreed to provide RANA with a total of \$120,000

in additional start-up funds referred to as a "Bridge Loan". Repayment requirements of both loans are addressed in the Operations, Management and Lease Agreement adopted by the Board in August, 2013. Since taking over operation of the broadband network in September, RANA has been able to assess its cash flow generation and operational costs on a more detailed and accurate level. During that time, it has become apparent that two issues exist.

- 1) Potential subscribers (customers) for the network are not always willing to pay the initial capital costs of connection installation up-front.
- 2) Current cash flow projections from the existing and slowly growing customer base will not sustain the operations of the system.

As a result, prior to the January 13 meeting, RANA representatives approached the Board and Councils of the three partner localities with an additional request for funding. Specifically, RANA requested two fund sources in the form of lines of credit - one line for operations (\$100,000) and the other in support of future connections (\$150,000). As presented by RANA, the plan would address the aforementioned issues in the following manner:

- 1) The operations line of credit (OLOC) would provide short term support for ongoing operations, until RANA becomes a self supporting, enterprise agency.

2) The connection line of credit (CLOC) would assist in bridging the barrier to building the customer base via addition of a capital supplement to the cost of initial connections to the network, spreading the customer's cost-of-connection over a three year period.

Details of the RANA request were provided to the Board as attachments As presented below, the lines of credit requests are proposed (by RANA) to be divided among the localities along the same percentages as have been observed throughout the project:

Operating Line of Credit (OLOC) request limits - \$100,000

- a. Rockbridge County: \$55,000 (55%)
- b. Buena Vista: \$25,000 (25%)
- c. Lexington: \$20,000 (20%)

Connection Line of Credit (CLOC) request limits - \$150,000

- d. Rockbridge County: \$82,500 (55%)
- e. Buena Vista: \$37,500 (25%)
- f. Lexington: \$30,000 (20%)

Should the Board wish to honor RANA's request, it will be important to ensure that a loan agreement is in place, outlining specific parameters of the agreement. Staff recommends that these stipulations be added in the form of an addendum to the August 2013 Operations, Management and Lease Agreement. Attached is a draft agreement for the Board to consider.

It incorporates the conditions recommended in the January 13 meeting.”

He explained that during the Board of Supervisors Work Session, the Board discussed and agreed to limit the Operating Line of Credit to no more than \$38,000 for the County’s share alone. He asked the Board how they would like to proceed.

Supervisor Campbell moved to enter into the agreement with RANA, changing the initial funding of the Operating Line of Credit to \$38,000, with the provisions that:

- a. RANA return to the Board with justification for any additional Operational Line of Credit funding requests; and,
- b. Provision of a monthly report listing all approved drops including the drop address.

Supervisor Lewis provided the second, and the motion carried by the following roll call vote:

AYES: Campbell, Lewis, Ford, Higgins
NAYES: Hinty
ABSENT: None

Board Comments

Mr. Bolster reported on the final numbers on the Broadband Project. He informed the Board that the total project budget was \$9,990,570 but actual cost came in at \$9,984,046.83. He noted that the last drawdown of \$57,146.47 was completed in January 2014.

Continued Meeting Announcement:

Chairman Higgins advised that there would be a joint meeting of the Rockbridge County Board of Supervisors and the Rockbridge County School Board, commencing at 5:30 P.M. on Thursday, February 6, 2014, at the Rockbridge County School Board Office, 1972 Big Spring Drive, Lexington, Virginia 24450.