

AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE ROCKBRIDGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
HELD IN THE ROCKBRIDGE COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE BUILDING
AT 150 SOUTH MAIN STREET, LEXINGTON, VIRGINIA
ON MONDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2020 AT 5:30 P.M.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: DANIEL E. LYONS
R. W. DAY
L.E. AYERS
D.B. MCDANIEL
A.J. "JAY" LEWIS, II.

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR: SPENCER H. SUTER

COUNTY ATTORNEY: VICKIE L. HUFFMAN

CALLED TO ORDER:

Chairman Lyons called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m. and offered an invocation for anyone who wished to participate.

The Board then led in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Changes to the Agenda:

Chairman Lyons called for changes to the Agenda. There were none.

Recognitions and Presentations:

Resolution of Appreciation to Retired Sheriff Chris Blalock:

Chairman Lyons read aloud the following resolution:

**RESOLUTION EXPRESSING THE APPRECIATION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF
ROCKBRIDGE COUNTY TO**

**THE HONORABLE CHRISTOPHER J. BLALOCK,
SHERIFF OF THE COUNTY OF ROCKBRIDGE AND THE CITY OF LEXINGTON,
ON THE OCCASION OF HIS RETIREMENT**

WHEREAS, after 33 years of service to Rockbridge County, Virginia, the Honorable Christopher J. Blalock has retired from his position as Sheriff of the County of Rockbridge and the City of Lexington on December 31, 2019; and,

WHEREAS, Sheriff Blalock was born in South Carolina, grew up in Rockbridge County, and started his career in law enforcement in 1986 as a jailor with the Rockbridge County Sheriff's Office; and,

WHEREAS, Sheriff Blalock has held many other positions during his career, including Patrol Deputy, Bailiff, Investigator, Chief Deputy, and Sheriff; and,

WHEREAS, Sheriff Blalock has been active and progressive in his profession and his agency, and as a graduate of the Virginia Forensic Science Academy and the Federal Bureau of Investigation National Academy, he has modernized the Rockbridge County Sheriff's Office by continually educating himself and his staff in the most current law enforcement practices and community policing methods; and,

WHEREAS, Sheriff Blalock, during his service, provided significant input on many vital decisions and was involved in many important and lasting initiatives, including but not limited to: the total consolidation of emergency services dispatching, the construction of the Rockbridge Regional Public Safety Communications Center and the Rockbridge County Fire-Rescue and Emergency Management Emergency Operations Center, the Regional Emergency Services Digital Trunked Radio Project, and the Crisis Intervention Assessment Site; and,

WHEREAS, Sheriff Blalock has served on many Boards, Committees, Commissions, and Authorities, including the Rockbridge Regional Jail Commission, Project Horizon Board of Directors, the Virginia Alcohol Safety Action Program, the Virginia Sheriff's Association Board of Directors, and the Rockbridge Community Service Board of Directors; and,

WHEREAS, Sheriff Blalock has earned the respect and support of his staff, the Board of Supervisors, and the citizens by demonstrating an unwavering commitment to the County of Rockbridge and the City of Lexington, its people, and communities; and,

WHEREAS, Sheriff Blalock is highly regarded as a truly professional and dedicated leader by other leaders in the County of Rockbridge, the City of Lexington, and throughout the Commonwealth of Virginia; and,

WHEREAS, Sheriff Blalock has been fair, impartial, and just in all his actions as a law enforcement professional and as a member of our community; and,

WHEREAS, Sheriff Blalock has served the citizens of the County of Rockbridge and the City of Lexington with honor and distinction for 33 years, and has retired as Sheriff of the County of Rockbridge and the City of Lexington on December 31, 2019.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED: That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Rockbridge, Virginia, does hereby express deep appreciation to Christopher J. Blalock for his many years of dedicated service to the citizens of the County of Rockbridge and the City of Lexington, and offers sincere congratulations and best wishes for a happy, healthy and productive retirement.

Adopted this 10th day of February, 2020.

The Board presented the resolution to Mr. Blalock and took photos of his acceptance.

Supervisor Lyons moved to adopt the resolution. Supervisor McDaniel provided the second, and the motion carried by the following roll call vote by the Board:

Ayes: Lewis, McDaniel, Day, Ayers, Lyons
Nays: None
Absent: None
Abstain: None

Citizens Comments:

George Persinger of the Kerrs Creek Magisterial District thanked the Board for adopting the resolution preserving and protecting the Constitutional rights of the citizens of Rockbridge County ("2-A

Resolution") on December 9, 2019. Mr. Persinger explained that he missed the Boards meetings in November and December when the 2-A resolution was discussed.

Toinette Culp of the Kerrs Creek Magisterial District shared her concern about the current noise ordinance and how it does not cover 24 hours of every day. She asked the Board to consider changes to the ordinance that would eliminate unescapable noise by W&L student housing in her neighborhood. Ms. Culp advised that, during the month of January 2020 alone, neighboring property owners had to endure excessive party noise 7 times. She then asked the Board if they had received her recent emails. The Board members replied, yes.

Madison McNair, a W&L student and co-chair of the Campus Community Coalition (CCC), advised that the CCC is actively addressing the concerns of noise from W&L parties. She stated that W&L students have continued to improve in areas of the complaints, as proven over the past 5-years. She added that W&L students will continue to respect and provide services to their community. One example, she noted, is continued collaborative efforts to clean up after parties.

Thomas Bennett of the Walkers Creek Magisterial District asked the Board to consider either option 4 or 5 of the draft ordinances because the first 3 do not really assist with the complaints other than time changes.

Scott Guise of the Kerrs Creek Magisterial District first thanked the Board for pasting the 2-A resolution and then recommended that the Board of Supervisors meetings be livestreamed on a Facebook page. Mr. Guise then shared his concern about the language in the draft noise ordinances

provided for the Boards consideration. He stated that the revisited ordinances will penalize everyone in Rockbridge County.

Former W&L student Devon Munro of the Kerrs Creek Magisterial District stated that the draft noise ordinances were well written but ultimately would not eliminate W&L parties. He stated that requiring a permit for parties could help address the current issues and that any other unintended consequences could be addressed and worked out.

Will Bolton, a student at W&L, stated that students at W&L enjoy and want to continue living off campus, which results in them connecting and providing services to the community, a condition which would not occur if living on campus.

Approval of the January 23, 2020 and January 27, 2020 Minutes:

Supervisor McDaniel moved to approve the minutes. Supervisor Day provided the second, and the motion carried by the following roll call vote by the Board:

Ayes: McDaniel, Day, Ayers, Lyons
Nays: None
Absent: None
Abstain: Lewis

Consideration of the County's Appropriation Resolution:

Fiscal Services Director Steven Bolster presented his monthly memorandum and revenues verses expenditures chart. He then advised that there were no appropriations being requested for approval but would like approval to pay the bills.

Supervisor Ayers moved to approve paying the bills. Supervisor Day provided the second, and the motion carried by the following roll call vote by the Board:

Ayes: Ayers, Day, Lewis, McDaniel, Lyons
Nays: None
Absent: None
Abstain: None

AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF ROCKBRIDGE COUNTY,
VIRGINIA, HELD AT THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING,
150 SOUTH MAIN STREET, LEXINGTON, VIRGINIA,
ON MONDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2020 AT 5:30 P.M.

On motion by Supervisor _____, seconded by Supervisor _____, the Board, by record vote, approved the payment of bills for the month as follows:

Current County	
11 - General Fund	\$483,773.51
94 - Central Stores	\$6,673.26
372 - Construction Project Fund	\$104,981.59
376 - Capital Purchases Fund	<u>\$24,895.28</u>
Total County Bills	\$620,323.64
Current Fiscal Agent	
80 - Regional Jail	\$240,749.19
241 - E-Summons Fees	<u>\$27.75</u>
Total Fiscal Agent	\$240,749.19
TOTAL ALL BILLS	\$861,072.83

Update from the Rockbridge Regional Fair Board:

Janelle Vess of the Rockbridge Regional Fair Board asked the Board to consider supporting the fair for the upcoming year with the annual budget request of \$25,000. She advised that the VHC and the rides company have a conflict in available schedules, resulting in the need to move the Regional Fair to another location. She advised that they are actively looking for another location.

Consideration of RACC Proposal for I81 Wildlife Fence in the Buffalo Creek Corridor:

Mr. Suter briefly reviewed the agenda item which included the following information:

"Late last year, the Rockbridge Area Conservation Council (RACC) approached me with a request to enjoin the Board in a discussion about wildlife fencing along a stretch of I81 in the Buffalo Creek area. The Buffalo Creek corridor is part of a larger, Natural Bridge Wildlife Corridor, as defined by the Virginia Outdoors Foundation (see attached information published by RACC). Also involved with wildlife corridor initiatives is the Virginia Safe Wildlife Corridors Collaborative <https://vswcc.weebly.com/>, with members ranging from the Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries (VDGIF) and the Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR) to the National Park Service.

The topic originated with VDOT's Transportation Research Council, which has been studying methods to reduce wildlife/vehicle collisions in areas where the occurrence is frequent. An example is the wildlife fencing along I64 on the eastern slope of Afton Mountain west of Charlottesville, resulting in a 90% reduction in deer/car crashes. The potential exists for funding via the Virginia Transportation Alternatives Program, for which the County or other public entities could apply. The interest in Rockbridge focuses on reducing wildlife/vehicle collision by encouraging wildlife to utilize the riparian corridor beneath the I-81 / Buffalo Creek bridge.

He noted that the purpose of the presentation is to introduce the Board to the topic, gauge interest and determine if the Board might consider supporting such an initiative." He then introduced RACC Executive Director Barbara Walsh and Virginia Safe Wildlife Corridors Collaborative representative Mike Pelton.

Ms. Walsh asked the Board to consider to proposed project and support for them to research additional funding options to decrease wrecks, deaths, and the work involved with VDOT's animal carcass removal.

Mr. Pelton reviewed some statistics on how the proposed project could benefit not only drivers but wildlife.

Ms. Walsh stated that one grant funding mechanism being researched would require a 20% match, but that it may be possible to find the match in areas other than local funding.

Mr. Suter concurred to continue working with RACC on funding options.

Supervisor Lewis stated that the fencing project on I64 has proven to work and this project would have good value.

Supervisor McDaniel shared his concern that the main area of animal usage was in the median, and that fencing those areas should be considered as well.

Consideration of Funding Options for PSA Sewer Capacity Expansion in the US 60 Corridor:

Mr. Suter briefly reviewed the agenda item which included the following information:

"At a work session on January 26th, the Board received a report describing additional costs which will be incurred on the US Rt 60 East

Sewer expansion project. To recap, the upgrade is intended to 1) provide additional capacity to allow for increased development along the currently served area in the US Rt 60E corridor, and 2) provide potential for a future phase of development to reach the I-81 / Exit 188 interchange. In 2018, the Board approved a Support Agreement for the project, essentially agreeing to support the PSA in a 15-year, \$2.461M revenue bond issue through BB&T Bank, to fund the cost estimate provided by PSA's engineer, Hurt & Proffitt. The PSA subsequently approved the bond issue on March 18th, 2018. As plans were drawn by Hurt & Proffitt, it became apparent that in many areas, the Maury Service Authority waterline loop (in the same ROW) was very close to the planned PSA sewer line replacement. Given the fragility of the pipe and that the section of MSA waterline was slated for replacement within the next 2 years, the MSA suggested moving that replacement up in schedule and doing them both at the same time. Both the MSA and PSA Boards approved the merged projects and plans were revised. In October 2019, an invitation for bids (IFB) was issued. Ultimately, E.C. Pace Company, Inc. was the lowest responsive and responsible bidder at a cost exceeding the original engineer's estimate of the PSA portion of the project by \$1,299,832. However, some minor savings in the related costs have lowered the total shortfall to an estimated \$1,283,916.

Engineering fees -	\$301,860
Construction bid -	\$3,272,052
Inspection fees -	\$111,000
Total Project Cost -	\$3,684,912

Bond Revenue - \$2,400,996

Total Shortfall - \$1,283,916

The request from the PSA is for the Board to fund the shortfall. At the January 26th work session, staff was directed to return to the Board with funding options. There are generally two approaches which could work in this scenario:

1) Seek additional bank financing.

a. Pros - Borrowing would spread the additional cost out over time.

b. Cons -

i. Lending and bond counsel fees would increase the overall cost. For example, the cost of issuance on the original financing totaled \$90,504, this amount also includes Financial Advisor services.

ii. Assuming a round borrowing of \$1,374,420 at 3.5% over 15 years, interest fees would be nearly \$404,000. Lending fees on the front end could exceed \$90,000

iii. Additionally, it would require a good deal of staff time on the front end.

2) Use fund balance reserves.

a. Pros - elimination of cost of issuance fees and interest

b. Cons -

i. Reduction in unassigned fund balance.

ii. Loss of interest-bearing savings.

Using the calculation for the County's financial policy for fund balance/reserves, we ended FY 2019 with a 36% unassigned fund balance in relation to its annual expenditures (accrual basis). The County healthily exceeds the fund balance/reserve policy minimum of 20%. While very significant budget pressures in 2021 and beyond should be considered, staff believes that option 2 is the best choice for the County at this time. It is important to note that the current revenue bond escrow account should be expended first, and that the remainder of the overage should be reserved, but remain in the County's coffers gaining interest until incremental project invoices are received from the PSA.

Should the Board direct staff to move forward with option 2, a second support agreement would be required. The initial agreement is attached for comparison.

If in agreement, direct staff to return on February 24th with a support agreement for consideration."

Supervisor Lewis noted that the interest on using reserves would be less than the 3.5% rate from a lender. He added that this would be a positive step for future development.

Supervisor McDaniel asked for information on what was unassigned at this time.

Mr. Suter replied, approximately \$3.6 Million on a cash basis and approximately \$10 Million on the accrual basis.

Supervisor Ayers shared her support of using fund balance reserves and moved to approve the recommendation to direct staff to return on February 24th with a support agreement for consideration. Supervisor Day

provided the second, and the motion carried by the following roll call vote by the Board:

Ayes: Ayers, Day, Lewis, McDaniel, Lyons
Nays: None
Absent: None
Abstain: None

AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF ROCKBRIDGE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, HELD AT THE ROCKBRIDGE COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES ON FEBRUARY 24, 2020

RESOLUTION TO APPROVE A SUPPLEMENTAL SUPPORT AGREEMENT IN CONNECTION WITH ADDITIONAL FUNDING OF THE PROJECT COSTS FOR ROUTE 60 PROJECT – PHASE I

WHEREAS, the County of Rockbridge, Virginia (the “County”), acting pursuant to Sections 15.1-1239 to 15.1-1270 inclusive, recodified as Chapter 51, Title 15.2 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended (the “Act”), duly created the Rockbridge County Public Service Authority (the “PSA”) on October 10, 1966. The PSA is empowered to acquire, purchase, lease, construct, reconstruct, improve, extend, operate and maintain a stormwater control system or water or waste system or any combination of such systems and to issue its revenue bonds to pay all or any part of the cost thereof; and,

WHEREAS, pursuant to that certain authorizing resolution approved by the PSA on March 13, 2018, entitled, “*Resolution Authorizing The Issuance, Sale, and Award Of An Up To \$2,500,000 Rockbridge County Public Service Authority Wastewater Revenue Bond (Route 60 Project - Phase I), Series 2018, And Providing The Form And Details Thereof*” (the “PSA Authorizing Resolution”), the PSA has authorized the issuance, sale and delivery on the date hereof of the **\$2,461,000 Rockbridge County Public Service Authority Wastewater Revenue Bond (Route 60 Project – Phase I), Series 2018 (“Bank-Qualified”)** (the “Bond”) to the **BRANCH BANKING AND TRUST COMPANY** (the “Bank”), as purchaser thereof; and,

WHEREAS, proceeds of the Bond shall be used by the PSA to finance all or any portion of the costs to undertake the following capital projects, in one or more phases: (i) the acquisition, construction, reconstruction, expansion, upgrade and equipping of the PSA’s wastewater system and facilities related thereto, including but not limited to installation of approximately 5,800 linear feet of 6” force main, 5,800 linear feet of 12” force main, 6” and 12” bridge crossing, construction of a pump station, and related appurtenances, and (ii) payment of costs for preliminary engineering and design plans and costs of issuance in connection with such undertakings (the “Project”); and,

WHEREAS, the Bond is a wastewater revenue bond obligation of the PSA for the payment of principal and interest on which the Revenues of the System have been pledged, subject to the PSA’s right to apply such Revenues to the payment of Operation and Maintenance Expenses of the System; and,

WHEREAS, pursuant to the PSA Authorizing Resolution, the PSA Board has approved the due execution and delivery of a Support Agreement dated March 28, 2018, by the PSA; and,

WHEREAS, pursuant to that certain resolution entitled, “*Resolution To Approve A Support Agreement In Connection With The Issuance Of A Wastewater Revenue Bond By The Rockbridge County Public Service Authority,*” duly adopted on March 12, 2018, by the Board, acting as the governing body of the County, the Board has approved the due execution and delivery of said Support Agreement dated March 28, 2018, by the County in order, among other things, to set forth the non-binding obligation of the Board to consider certain

appropriations in support of the payment of the PSA's payment obligations under the Bond and the transactions contemplated thereby, all as required by the Bank in connection with its purchase of the Bond; and,

WHEREAS, as the Project design by Hurt & Proffitt proceeded, it became apparent that, in many areas, the Maury Service Authority (the "MSA") waterline loop, within the same right-of-way and scheduled to be replaced within the next two years, was very close to the planned PSA sewer line replacement, and it was ultimately decided by the MSA and the PSA to merge these projects, with each paying its share of their respective projects; and,

WHEREAS, following preparation of a revised set of plans, which resulted in modifications to the PSA's Project to (i) the acquisition, construction, reconstruction, expansion, upgrade and equipping of the PSA's wastewater system and facilities related thereto, including but not limited to installation of approximately 6,600 linear feet of 6" force main, 4,600 linear feet of 12" force main, 905 linear feet of 8" force main, 6" and 12" force main bridge crossing, construction of a pump station, and related appurtenances, and (ii) payment of costs for preliminary engineering and design plans, contract administration, inspections, and costs of issuance in connection with such undertakings (the "Updated Project"), the PSA issued its Request for Bids (RFB) in October 2019; and,

WHEREAS, E.C. Pace Company, Inc., was the lowest responsive and responsible bidder at a cost exceeding the engineer's original estimate of the PSA's Updated Project by \$1,299,832; some minor savings in the related costs have lowered the total funding shortfall to an estimated \$1,283,916; and,

WHEREAS, the PSA has requested the additional funding of the Project from the County, and the Board has consented to provide such support.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors of Rockbridge County Virginia, as follows:

1. In addition to the Board's commitments and moral obligation authorized and approved by its Resolution adopted March 12, 2018, the Board hereby approves the execution and delivery of a Supplemental Support Agreement by the County, dated February 24, 2020, and executed by and among the County and the PSA (the "Supplemental Support Agreement"), in connection with the undertakings of the PSA under the Bond and pursuant to the Updated Project. The Chairman or Vice-Chairman of the Board, or the County Administrator, any one or more of whom may act (whether individually or collectively, the "County Representative"), are each hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver the Supplement Support Agreement substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit A**, which form is hereby approved.

To such end, the Board hereby covenants and agrees to undertake a nonbinding obligation to appropriate such amounts as may be requested from time to time, if any, in order to pay or reimburse the PSA for the Updated Project costs exceeding the available Bond proceeds, up to a total of \$1,283,916.00 (the "Additional Funds"), provided that the PSA shall first use all proceeds of its Bond issue approved on March 13, 2018, to pay the costs of the Route 60 Project – Phase 1, as may be modified or amended. Such moral obligation pledge of the County is hereby approved and made to the fullest degree and in such manner as is consistent with the Constitution and laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia, *provided, however*, that such pledge shall not be deemed to be a lending of the credit of the County to the PSA or to any other person or otherwise deemed to be a pledge of the faith and credit or the taxing power of the County, and such pledge shall not bind or obligate the Board or any future Board to appropriate funds for such purposes or otherwise.

2. The County Representative and such officers, employees, and agents of the County as any one or more of them may designate, are each authorized and directed to execute and deliver any and all additional

instruments, certificates and other documents as may be necessary or convenient in order to carry out the purposes of this Resolution, all as may be advised by counsel.

3. This Resolution shall be effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved: February 24, 2020

ROCKBRIDGE COUNTY, VIRGINIA

Chairman/ Vice-Chairman of the
Board of Supervisors

Exhibit A: Form of Supplemental Support Agreement

CERTIFICATE OF VOTES

The undersigned Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of Rockbridge County, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct copy of the foregoing Resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors at a duly called public meeting thereof at which at least a quorum was present and acting throughout, and that the record of the roll-call vote is as follows:

NAME	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT
Leslie E. Ayers Buffalo District	X			
Daniel E. Lyons Kerrs Creek District	X			
David B. McDaniel Natural Bridge District	X			
R. W. Day South River District	X			
A. J. "Jay" Lewis, II Walkers Creek District	X			

Date: February 24, 2020

[SEAL]

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of
Rockbridge County, Virginia

Noise Ordinance Review and Discussion of Options:

County Attorney Vickie Huffman briefly reviewed the agenda item which included the following information:

"At the January 13th Board meeting, the Board requested options for amendment to the Noise Ordinance. Multiple options are attached and identified as Draft Option #1 (the current version) through Draft Option #5. Note that within each option, multiple variations are possible, particularly with regard to the times when the identified types of noise are prohibited. Each option has its positives and negatives.

In addition, the Board may consider some combination of the options. For example, the Board might prefer to restrict different times in residential districts from other areas of the County, but not require a permit. Or, the Board may wish to establish different times between Residential zoning districts and other areas of the County, but only require a permit for mass social gatherings involving 50, 100, or 200 guests.

Another option that has not been included, but may be considered, is to prohibit noise that measures above certain levels on a decibel meter, used by some localities when prohibiting the specified noise above a certain level during the day, and prohibiting that same noise above a certain lower level at night. This option works best for situations where the level of noise is questionable or there is difficulty proving noise violations to the court. However, it is my understanding that this is not currently an issue in Rockbridge County, and the noise being considered clearly meets the "plainly audible" standard. Given that there is an additional expense associated with sound meters and keeping them certified for accuracy, the Board has declined this option in the past and the Sheriff's Office has not recommended it.

The presented options are limited to addressing noise disturbances related to parties/social gatherings. Please keep in mind that Noise Ordinances also often include prohibitions of noise, usually during specified hours, created by (i) any animal or bird (i.e. barking dogs), (ii) loading or unloading of waste or recycling, (iii) lawn care (i.e. mowing) and tree cutting, (iv) vehicle engine racing/revving and/or repairing, rebuilding or modifying vehicles or mechanical equipment, (v) non-muffled exhaust, and (iv) vehicle stereos. Additional considerations are motorcycles, jake braking and shooting ranges, for which special rules apply.

The Board requested information on the construction of residences in the area of the pole houses and the development of Maury Cliffs and Woodbridge subdivisions. Maps showing the residence construction dates, prepared by the Department of Community Development, are attached.

Lastly, all of the Options include deletion of an exception for activities or land use for which Special Use or Special Exception Permits have been granted by the Board of Supervisors. In reviewing with the Department of Community Development, it is clear that the typical uses associated with the types of noise addressed in this ordinance are Lodges and Resorts or Special Events. As frequently discussed with the Planning Commission and the Board, those uses are expected to abide by the Noise Ordinance, and occasionally are more restricted by the permit conditions."

Chairman Lyons advised that he had recently driven by the student housing where the complaints are coming from twice during parties and did not hear significant noise either time. He stated that he had spoken with owners of the houses, who agreed to work with their renters to try solving

the issues. He added that he did not believe changing the noise ordinance will solve anything and encouraged W&L students and neighboring property owners to get together and work out any issues they have. If need be, he added, the Board could revisit the issue in six months.

Supervisor Ayers stated that she would like to see the students and W&L work with the neighbors who are having issues with the noise and try working things out before changing the law, which ultimately could put more restrictions on student housing.

Supervisor Lewis shared his concern about some of the language in the draft ordinances and agreed with the recommendation by Chairman Lyons.

Chairman Lyons added that he hoped the problems could be worked out and the issue would not need to be revisited in six months. He stated that the Board understands W&L students will not be perfect, and does not expect them to be, but could be respectful of their neighbors, beginning now.

Supervisor McDaniel stated that changing the ordinance will not make it right for the rest of the community.

Appointments:

Jail Commission- Recommendation of a Goshen Representative: (carried over):

Mr. Suter advised that Goshen Town Council had recommended Tom McCraw to serve on the Jail Commission as the Goshen representative.

In support of the recommendation, Supervisor Lewis moved to appoint Mr. McCraw to the Regional Jail Commission. Supervisor Day provided the

second, and the motion carried by the following roll call vote by the Board:

Ayes: Lewis, Day, Ayers, McDaniel, Lyons
Nays: None
Absent: None
Abstain: None

Monthly Staff Reports:

Supervisor Lewis moved to approve the staff reports. Supervisor McDaniel provided the second, and the motion carried by the following roll call vote by the Board:

Ayes: Lewis, McDaniel, Day, Ayers, Lyons
Nays: None
Absent: None
Abstain: None

Adjournment:

Supervisor Lewis moved to adjourn at 6:40 p.m. Supervisor McDaniel provided the second, and the motion carried by the following roll call vote by the Board:

Ayes: Lewis, McDaniel, Day, Ayers, Lyons
Nays: None
Absent: None
Abstain: None